

Primary research

Open Access

Prevalence and correlates of being bullied among in-school adolescents in Beijing: results from the 2003 Beijing Global School-Based Health Survey

Alice Hazemba¹, Seter Siziya^{*1}, Adamson S Muula² and Emmanuel Rudatsikira³

Address: ¹Department of Community Medicine, University of Zambia Medical School, Lusaka, Zambia, ²Department of Community Health, University of Malawi, Blantyre, Malawi and ³Departments of Global Health, Biostatistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Loma Linda University, Loma Linda, CA, USA

Email: Alice Hazemba - alice_hazemba@yahoo.com; Seter Siziya^{*} - ssiziya@yahoo.com; Adamson S Muula - muula@email.unc.edu; Emmanuel Rudatsikira - erudatsikira@llu.edu

^{*} Corresponding author

Published: 2 April 2008

Received: 20 August 2007

Accepted: 2 April 2008

Annals of General Psychiatry 2008, **7**:6 doi:10.1186/1744-859X-7-6

This article is available from: <http://www.annals-general-psychiatry.com/content/7/1/6>

© 2008 Hazemba et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Bullying has public health importance. It has been reported that both the victims and perpetrators of bullying are more likely to have suicidal ideation and other suicidal behaviours. Moreover, bullying can be a precursor for school violence and can contribute to poor academic performance. The purpose of the study was to raise awareness on the subject in China. We, therefore conducted an analysis of secondary data to determine the prevalence and correlates of having been bullied among in-school adolescents.

Methods: The data was taken from the Beijing Global School-Based Health Survey conducted in 2003. A weighted analysis to reduce bias due to differing patterns of non-response was conducted using statistical software (SPSS version 14.0). We conducted a backward logistic regression analysis to determine independent predictors for being bullied.

Results: Out of a total of 2,348 in-school adolescents who participated in the survey, 20% (23% males, and 17% females) reported having been bullied. Risk factors for having been bullied were loneliness (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 1.44; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.42–1.45), being worried (AOR = 1.30; 95% CI 1.29–1.32), being sad or having feelings of hopelessness (AOR = 1.21; 95% CI 1.19–1.22), smoking cigarettes (AOR = 1.09; 95% CI 1.08–1.11), drinking alcohol (AOR = 1.31; 95% CI 1.29–1.32), and being truant (AOR = 1.24; 95% CI 1.22–1.27). Meanwhile protective factors were having close friends (AOR = 0.84; 95% CI 0.83–0.86), receiving parental supervision (AOR = 0.80; 95% CI 0.80–0.81), and ever been drunk (AOR = 0.86; 95% CI 0.84–0.87).

Conclusion: We believe the results of this study will raise awareness among school health practitioners and administrators, paediatric psychiatrists and psychologists on the prevalence and correlates of bullying among adolescents in Beijing, China.

Background

Bullying is a major source of victimisation among youth [1-6]. Although there has been growing interest in the topic in the last 20 years [1-8], studies that have been reported have mainly come from Europe and the United States. There are also some reports from Hong Kong, India and Korea [9-12].

Wong has reported that if left uncurbed, bullying can be a precursor of school violence and delinquency [13]. Kim *et al.* [9] reported that both the victims and perpetrators of bullying were more likely to report suicidal ideation and other suicidal behaviours than those not associated with bullying. In a US study reported by Nansel *et al.* [14] in which 15,686 students in grades 6 to 10 were studied, bullying others and being bullied were consistently associated with self-report of weapon carrying, weapon carrying in school, physical fighting, and being injured in a physical fight. Harel previously reported that bullying of girls in Kenya was contributing to low academic achievement [15]. Therefore, bullying has important public health significance. While the majority of reports on bullying are from studies conducted among youth and in-school children, it is also important to recognise that bullying may also occur among adults, and also in out-of-school settings. Bullying may occur at work [16,17] or within prison settings [18,19]. For the current study however, our interest is in adolescents in in-school settings.

Despite the fact that there is growing interest on adolescents' in-school bullying, there are limited data from the emerging economy nations such as China. In order to raise awareness on the subject in China, we therefore conducted a secondary analysis of the Beijing Global School-Based Health Survey (2003) to investigate the prevalence and correlates of having been bullied within the last 30 days among in-school adolescents.

Methods

Study design

This study involved secondary analysis of existing data available from the Beijing Global School-Based Health Survey (GSHS) conducted in 2003. The GSHS was developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) in collaboration with The United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and The United Nations Joint Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), with technical assistance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA, USA. The GSHS aims to provide data on health and social behaviours among in-school adolescents. In Beijing, students from grades 1 to 4 of junior middle school were recruited.

Sampling

The 2003 Beijing GSHS used a two-stage probability sampling technique. In the first stage of sampling, the sampling frame consisted of all junior middle schools. Schools were selected with probability proportional to school enrolment size. In the second step, a random sample of classes in the selected schools was obtained. All students in the selected classes were eligible to participate in the survey. All the 25 selected schools participated in the survey. Altogether, 2,348 students were enrolled into the survey with a response rate of 99%.

Ethical issues

The privacy of students was protected by allowing for anonymous and voluntary participation [20]. The questionnaire was self-completed by students within one class period.

Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 14.0 software. A weighting factor was used in the analysis to reflect the likelihood of sampling each student and to reduce bias by compensating for differing patterns of non response. The weight used for estimation is given by the following formula:

$$W = W1 * W2 * f1 * f2 * f3 * f4$$

Where W1 = the inverse of the probability of selecting the school, W2 = the inverse of the probability of selecting the classroom within the school, f1 = a school-level non response adjustment factor calculated by school size category (small, medium, large), f2 = a class-level non response adjustment factor calculated for each school, f3 = a student-level non response adjustment factor calculated by class, and f4 = a post stratification adjustment factor calculated by grade.

We used the following questions for the outcome of interest and some of the explanatory variables: "During the past 30 days, on how many days were you bullied?", with the responses 0 days, 1 or 2 days, 3 to 5 days, 6 to 9 days, 10 to 19 days, 20 to 29 days, all 30 days. As we were interested in any history of having been bullied, we recoded the variable to a binary variable with responses of zero for 0 days and one for any number of days bullied. A follow up question indicated how "being bullied" was defined in the survey: "During the past 30 days, how were you bullied most often?". The possible responses were: "I was not bullied during the past 30 days", "I was hit, kicked, pushed, shoved around, or locked indoors", "I was made fun of because of my race or colour", "I was made fun of because of my religion", "I was made fun of with sexual jokes, comments, or gestures", "I was left out of activities on purpose or completely ignored", "I was made fun of

because of how my body or face looks", and "I was bullied in some other way". The other questions that we used in the analysis were: "During the past 12 months, how often have you felt lonely?", "During the past 12 months, how often have you been so worried about something that you could not sleep at night?", "During the past 12 months, did you ever feel so sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks or more in a row that you stopped doing your usual activities?", "How many close friends do you have?", "During your life, how many times did you drink so much alcohol that you were really drunk?". We also used data on age and gender (sex). Tian *et al.* [20] reported that the data arising from the above variables are of acceptable quality.

We obtained frequencies as estimates of prevalence rates. We conducted a backward logistic regression analysis to estimate the associations between relevant predictor variables and the outcome. The predictor variables were identified from the literature as possible factors that may be associated with having been a victim of bullying [11,21-27]. We report unadjusted odds ratios for selected predictor variables while considering having been bullied in the past month as a dependent variable. We also report results from a multivariate analysis (adjusted odds ratios) to determine independent predictors for the outcome.

Results

Description of the study sample

A total of 2,348 in-school adolescents participated in the Beijing Global School-Based Survey of 2003. For those study participants whose data were available, 32.6% were of age 14 years, 49.5% were females, and 20% reported having been bullied in the past month (23% among boys versus 17% among girls). Further description of the study sample is given in Table 1.

Factors associated with being a victim of bullying

Bivariate and multivariate analyses produced similar results (Table 2), except for ages <13 and 13 years, and ever been drunk. While odds ratios for <13 and 13 years indicated that these groups were protective in bivariate analysis, these ages were risk factors in multivariate analysis. Ever been drunk was a risk factor in bivariate analysis but was a protective factor in multivariate analysis. We highlight the results obtained in the multivariate analysis.

Compared to older adolescents of age 16 years or more, younger adolescents (<15 years) were more likely to be bullied. However, adolescents of age 15 years were less likely to be bullied. Male adolescents were 21% (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 1.21; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.20–1.22) more likely to be bullied compared to female adolescents.

The other risk factors that were identified in the analysis were loneliness (AOR = 1.44; 95% CI 1.42–1.45), being worried (AOR = 1.30; 95% CI 1.29–1.32), and being sad or having feelings of hopelessness (AOR = 1.21; 95% CI 1.19–1.22). Adolescents who had close friends were 16% (AOR = 0.84; 95% CI 0.83–0.86) less likely to be bullied compared to adolescents who had no close friends. Adolescents who reported having received parental supervision were 20% (AOR = 0.80; 95% CI 0.80–0.81) less likely to be bullied.

Adolescents who smoked cigarettes were 9% (AOR = 1.09; 95% CI 1.08–1.11) more likely to be bullied compared to non-smokers. While adolescents who drank alcohol were 31% (AOR = 1.31; 95% CI 1.29–1.32) more likely to be bullied compared to adolescents who did not take alcohol, adolescents who ever had been drunk were 14% (AOR = 0.86; 95% CI 0.84–0.87) less likely to be bullied. Finally, adolescents who reported having been truant were 24% (AOR = 1.24; 95% CI 1.22–1.27) more likely to be bullied compared to adolescents who never missed classes.

Discussion

Our study reports an overall prevalence of being bullied over the past 30 days to the survey among Beijing students of 20%. Males were more likely to have reported being victims of bullying than females (23% versus 17%, respectively). In South Africa, Liang *et al.* [27] reported a 12 months prevalence of being a victim of bullying of 19.3% among adolescents. Kepenekci and Çınkır [25] reported that in a sample of 692 Turkish high school students, all students reported having been bullied in the current academic year. In this Turkish study, 35.0% reported that they had been bullied verbally, 35.5% had been bullied physically, 28.3% had been bullied emotionally, and 15.6% had been bullied sexually, at least once during the academic year. That having been a victim of bullying was universal appears surprising. However, this may have resulted from how bullying was defined. Bullying comprises different forms including verbal (such as being made fun of, and teasing) and physical forms (such as hitting, kicking, pushing, and being locked indoors) [28].

Our study found that males were more likely to have been victims of bullying compared to females. Munni and Mahli [29] reported that females were more likely to be victims of bullying. In the sample of Turkish high school students, boys were more likely to have suffered physical bullying including kicking/slapping, assault with a knife, and rude physical jokes than girls. Kshirsagar *et al.* however reported that the prevalence of bullying was the same among boys and girls in co-education schools in India [11].

Table 1: Sample description of study participants in the Beijing 2003 Global School-Based Survey

Factor	Total, n (%)*	Male, n (%)*	Female, n (%)*
Age			
≤12	274 (12.6)	125 (11.8)	142 (12.8)
13	588 (25.5)	256 (23.0)	332 (28.3)
14	788 (32.6)	373 (32.1)	415 (33.4)
15	560 (23.5)	293 (25.7)	267 (21.4)
16+	136 (5.8)	84 (7.5)	52 (4.2)
Sex			
Male	1131 (50.5)	-	-
Female	1210 (49.5)	-	-
Loneliness			
Yes	1354 (57.2)	607 (53.6)	746 (61.2)
No	990 (42.8)	521 (46.4)	463 (38.8)
Worried			
Yes	1169 (49.3)	514 (45.4)	652 (53.4)
No	1174 (50.7)	613 (54.6)	557 (46.6)
Sad/hopeless			
Yes	442 (19.0)	222 (19.9)	217 (18.0)
No	1888 (81.0)	895 (80.1)	989 (82.0)
Had close friend			
Yes	2163 (92.4)	1044 (92.7)	1114 (92.2)
No	177 (7.6)	81 (7.3)	94 (7.8)
Smoked cigarettes			
Yes	205 (9.2)	177 (16.2)	27 (2.1)
No	2101 (90.8)	917 (83.8)	1178 (97.9)
Drank alcohol			
Yes	278 (12.9)	187 (18.1)	91 (7.8)
No	1917 (87.1)	854 (81.9)	1056 (92.2)
Ever been drunk			
Yes	184 (8.0)	132 (11.8)	52 (4.2)
No	2139 (92.0)	988 (88.2)	1144 (95.8)
Missed classes			
Yes	112 (4.9)	70 (6.3)	41 (3.5)
No	2227 (95.1)	1055 (93.7)	1166 (96.5)
Parental supervision			
Always	585 (25.3)	265 (23.7)	318 (26.8)
Not always	1752 (74.7)	858 (76.3)	889 (73.2)
Bullied			
Yes	439 (20.0)	244 (23.0)	194 (17.0)
No	1777 (80.0)	816 (77.0)	955 (83.0)

*n = unweighted frequency; weighted percentages were used.

We found that adolescents who reported having been bullied were also more likely to have smoked, used alcohol, ever gotten drunk, felt hopeless, been worried, felt sad, and been truant. These factors have been reported to be associated with bullying victimization. However due to the cross sectional nature of the study, it is not possible to determine whether these factors are the consequences of having been bullied or are in the causal pathway. Bond *et al.* [30] reported in a prospective study that girls who were once victims of bullying were more likely to have depression. In another prospective study, Gladstone *et al.* [31] reported that victims of bullying suffered anxiety later in life.

Limitations of the study

Our study has a number of limitations. Firstly, data were collected through self-reports. As in all such studies, both inadvertent and deliberate misreporting is a concern. However, the collection of data in this study was anonymous. This may have discouraged deliberate misreporting. This study asked participants whether they had been bullied. The information that was given depended on each adolescent's understanding of bullying. In a setting where the subject matter has not been adequately addressed in common language usage, the problem of having diverse interpretations amongst study participants multiplies. Although the study was conducted in Chinese so as to minimise misunderstanding of terms, the transla-

Table 2: Factors associated with being bullied

Factor	Crude odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)
Age		
≤12	0.98 (0.96–1.00)	1.29 (1.26–1.32)
13	0.92 (0.90–0.93)	1.04 (1.02–1.05)
14	1.23 (1.21–1.24)	1.20 (1.18–1.22)
15	0.95 (0.93–0.96)	0.79 (0.77–0.80)
16+		
Sex		
Male	1.21 (1.20–1.22)	1.21 (1.20–1.22)
Female		
Loneliness		
Yes	1.58 (1.56–1.59)	1.44 (1.42–1.45)
No		
Worried		
Yes	1.43 (1.42–1.45)	1.30 (1.29–1.32)
No		
Sad/hopelessness		
Yes	1.43 (1.41–1.44)	1.21 (1.19–1.22)
No		
Had close friends		
Yes	0.75 (0.74–0.76)	0.84 (0.83–0.86)
No		
Smoked cigarettes		
Yes	1.28 (1.26–1.29)	1.09 (1.08–1.11)
No		
Drank alcohol		
Yes	1.45 (1.43–1.46)	1.31 (1.29–1.32)
No		
Ever been drunk		
Yes	1.29 (1.28–1.31)	0.86 (0.84–0.87)
No		
Missed classes		
Yes	1.49 (1.47–1.52)	1.24 (1.22–1.27)
No		
Parental supervision		
Yes	0.70 (0.70–0.71)	0.80 (0.80–0.81)
No		

tion of the GSHS questionnaire may have altered the meaning or interpretation of questions or responses. This would make it difficult to compare the current findings with findings from other settings. However, Tian *et al.* [20] reported that the data used in the current study are of acceptable quality.

Conclusion

We have for the first time reported the prevalence of bullying among in-school adolescents in Beijing, China. We believe this report will raise awareness on the problem of bullying behaviours among students in this setting. Efforts to prevent and control bullying behaviours need to consider the factors that are associated with the behaviour.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AH conducted data analysis, participated in the interpretation of the results, and drafting of the manuscript. SS conducted a re-analysis of data, participated in the interpretation of results, and drafting of the manuscript. ASM participated in the interpretation of the results, and drafting of the manuscript. ER participated in the interpretation of the results, and drafting of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the World Health Organisation (Geneva) for making the data on the Beijing Global School-Based Survey available for our analysis.

References

1. Fitzpatrick KM: **Exposure to violence and presence of depression among low-income, African-American youth.** *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1993, **61**:528-531.
2. Osofsky JD: **Addressing youth victimization.** [http://www.ncjrs.org/html/ojdp/action_plan_update_2001_10/].
3. Fitzpatrick KM: **Fighting among America's youth: a risk and protective factors approach.** *J Health Soc Behav* 1997, **38**:131-148.
4. Kelley BT, Huizinga D, Thornberry TP, Loeber R: *Epidemiology of Serious Violence* Washington, DC: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention; 1997.
5. Mazza JJ, Reynolds WM: **Exposure to violence in young inner-city adolescents: relationships with suicidal ideation, depression, and PTSD symptomatology.** *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 1999, **27**:203-213.
6. Hanish LD, Guerra NG: **The roles of ethnicity and school context in predicting children's victimization by peers.** *Am J Community Psychol* 2000, **28**:201-223.
7. Brown BV, Bzostek S: **Violence in the lives of children.** *Cross Currents* 2003, **1**:1-13.
8. Fitzpatrick KM, Boldizar J: **The prevalence and consequences of exposure to violence among African-American youth.** *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1993, **32**:424-430.
9. Kim YS, Koh YJ, Leventhal B: **School bullying and suicidal risk in Korean middle school students.** *Pediatrics* 2005, **115**:357-363.
10. Saner H, Ellickson P: **Concurrent risk factors for adolescent violence.** *J Adolesc Health* 1996, **19**:94-103.
11. Kshirsagar VY, Agarwal R, Bavdekar SB: **Bullying in schools: prevalence and short-term impact.** *Indian Pediatr* 2007, **44**:25-28.
12. Park HS, Schepp KG, Jang EH, Koo HY: **Predictors of suicidal ideation among high school students by gender in South Korea.** *J Sch Health* 2006, **76**:181-188.
13. Wong DS: **School bullying and tackling strategies in Hong Kong.** *Int J Offend Ther Comp Criminol* 2004, **48**:537-553.
14. Nansel TR, Overpeck MD, Haynie DL, Ruan WJ, Scheidt PC: **Relationships between bullying and violence among US youth.** *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2003, **157**:348-353.
15. Harel K: **Kenya studies its schools to identify obstacles for girls. Education and gender.** *Popul Briefs* 1997, **3**:6.
16. Agervold M: **Bullying at work: a discussion of definitions and prevalence, based on an empirical study.** *Scand J Psychol* 2007, **48**:161-172.
17. Randle J, Stevenson K, Grayling I: **Reducing workplace bullying in healthcare organisations.** *Nurs Stand* 2007, **21**:49-56.
18. Ireland JL, Archer J, Power CL: **Characteristics of male and female prisoners involved in bullying behavior.** *Aggress Behav* 2007, **33**:220-229.
19. Archer J, Ireland JL, Power CL: **Differences between bullies and victims, and men and women, on aggression-related variables among prisoners.** *Br J Soc Psychol* 2007, **46**:299-322.
20. Tian B, Zhang W, Qian L, Lv S, Tian X, Xiong G, Yan W, Zhang X, Kann LK, Riley L: **Health behaviors and protective factors of school students aged 13-15 years old in four cities of China.** *International Electronic Journal of Health Education* 2007, **10**:35-59.
21. Molcho M, Harel Y, Dina LO: **Substance use and youth violence. A study among 6th to 10th grade Israeli school children.** *Int J Adolesc Med Health* 2004, **16**:239-251.
22. Schnohr C, Niclasen BV: **Bullying among Greenlandic school-children: development since 1994 and relations to health and health behaviour.** *Int J Circumpolar Health* 2006, **65**:305-312.
23. Brunstein Klomek A, Marrocco F, Kleinman M, Schonfeld IS, Gould MS: **Bullying, depression, and suicidality in adolescents.** *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2007, **46**:40-49.
24. Due P, Holstein BE, Lynch J, Diderichsen F, Gabhain SN, Scheidt P, The Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children Bullying Working Group: **Bullying and symptoms among school-aged children: international comparative cross sectional study in 28 countries.** *Eur J Public Health* 2005, **15**:128-132.
25. Kepenekci YK, Cinkir S: **Bullying among Turkish high school students.** *Child Abuse Negl* 2006, **30**:193-204.
26. Yang SJ, Kim JM, Kim SV, Shin IS, Yoon JS: **Bullying and victimization behaviors in boys and girls at South Korean primary schools.** *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2006, **45**:69-77.
27. Liang H, Flisher AJ, Lombard CJ: **Bullying, violence, and risk behavior in South African school students.** *Child Abuse Negl* 2007, **31**:161-171.
28. Volk A, Craig W, Boyce W, King M: **Adolescent risk correlates of bullying and different types of victimization.** *Int J Adolesc Med Health* 2006, **18**:575-586.
29. Munni R, Malhi P: **Adolescent violence exposure, gender issues and impact.** *Indian Pediatr* 2006, **43**:607-612.
30. Bond L, Carlin JB, Thomas L, Rubin K, Patton G: **Does bullying cause emotional problems? A prospective study of young teenagers.** *BMJ* 2001, **323**:480-484.
31. Gladstone GL, Parker GB, Malhi GS: **Do bullied children become anxious and depressed adults? A cross-sectional investigation of the correlates of bullying and anxious depression.** *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2006, **194**:201-208.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

